1	A That's true.
2	Q And again on page 90, if you'll read page 90 of your
3	deposition testimony.
4	A Um-hum.
5	JUDGE MILLER: You've read that? And those were the
6	questions you were asked and those are the answers you gave,
7	right?
8	WITNESS: Yes, sir.
9	BY MR. KRAVETZ:
10	Q And in there didn't you testify that you did not
11	discuss the existing business of WBBY at the time, prior to
12	the time he agreed to becoming a partner?
13	A The existing business at that time.
14	Q And doesn't it also say that you never discussed
15	with him the potential profitability of this station? And he
16	never asked?
17	A Not, not in detail we did not. I did not specify
18	figures. I told him he wouldn't get rich but he could make a
19	profit.
20	Q Well, can I read on page 90 into the record?
21	"Question: Are you saying then that he never asked any
22	questions concerning the potential profitability of the
23	station in the event that you were going to be the winner of
24	this station? Answer: No, he didn't." So just to confirm
25	your testimony today, let me ask you again what your testimony

1	is again	today. When you met with Mr. Beauvais, after you
2	talked ho	w much money, after you talked about how much money
3	you would	need and the fact that you wanted to have 25 percent
4	equity, y	ou didn't you did not discuss the profitability of
5	the static	on at that time?
6	A	Not total figures, no.
7		JUDGE MILLER: But you've got to, you've got to talk
8	about spe	cific She's distinguishing between specific
9	figures a	nd, and a general conversation, Mr. Kravetz.
10		MR. KRAVETZ: Okay. Very well.
11		BY MR. KRAVETZ:
12	Q	You So you may have said you thought it would be
13	a profita	ble station?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	But you never discussed any kind of figures?
16	A	No.
17	Q	And you never discussed your salary?
18	A	No, sir.
19	Q	In fact, isn't it a fact that you said he would have
20	to put in	this money and he said fine, and there was really no
21	discussion	n about how that money would be broken down or used?
22	A	That's correct.
23	Q	And isn't it also a fact that except for the 25
24	percent i	nterest, at this meeting you never discussed the
25	terms of	the specific terms of the shareholders agreement

1	that you both were going to be entering into?
2	A That's true.
3	Q Now, in this shareholders agreement with Mr.
4	Beauvais, and please feel free to look through it if you need
5	to, is there anything in the agreement that would bar Mr.
6	Beauvais from being an employee of the station?
7	A No, he couldn't be an employee of the station.
8	Q Pardon me?
9	A No, he couldn't be an employee of the station.
10	Q Where, where does it say that?
11	A It doesn't say that.
12	Q Okay, so it doesn't say that. Is there anything in
13	this agreement that would bar him from being an independent
14	contractor?
15	A It doesn't really say that, but I would have to hire
16	him and I don't think I would have.
17	Q But it, but it would be up to you?
18	A Yes.
19	Q There's no prohibition written in there about the
20	extent of his involvement?
21	A No.
22	Q Nothing would prevent him from being an agent?
23	A An agent?
24	Q An agent of the business, representing the business,
25	binding the corporation to anything.

A He's like my banker, my, you know	
Q Wait a minute. I'm asking you whether	
A No, there is nothing.	
Q Is there anything in the agreement that bars him	
from transacting any business in the name of the company?	
A I don't think that he could do that.	
Q Is there anything in the agreement that stops him	
from doing that?	
A No.	
Q Is there anything in there that specifies that	
specifically says he won't do anything else besides make loans	
to the company?	
A That's all it says he would do.	
Q Is there anything in this agreement that would bar	
you from communicating with him about the day-to-day	
operations of the business?	
MR. KOERNER: Objection, Your Honor. I think he's	
getting, you know, somewhat requiring the witness to draw	
legal conclusions.	
JUDGE MILLER: Well, these will all speak for	
themselves.	
MR. KRAVETZ: Well, I'm also asking about her	
understanding.	
JUDGE MILLER: Well, her understanding. The	
agreement says what it says, Mr. Kravetz.	

1		MR. KRAVETZ: Okay.
2		BY MR. KRAVETZ:
3	Q	But whatever the agreement says and whatever it
4	allows hi	m to do or doesn't allow him to do, this wasn't even
5	discussed	with him at the time of the at the restaurant?
6	A	No, it wasn't discussed. He's just my financial
7	backer, t	hat is it.
8	Ω	But you never discussed whether he would or wouldn't
9	do anythi	ng else?
10	A	He wouldn't do anything else.
11	Q	Did you specifically discuss that?
12	A	No, we did not.
13	Q	Now, this shareholders agreement also provides you
14	with an o	ption to buy him out.
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	After This is after, of course, he's put in
17	\$100,000	loan to the company.
18	A	Um-hum.
19	Q	Do you know how much it would cost for you to buy
20	him out i	f, for some reason, you decide that you don't want
21	him as yo	ur partner?
22	A	It states there in the agreement before you.
23	Q	Do you know Do you have any idea about what those
24	numbers a	re?
25	A	It would depend on the times, at five times plus the

1	going rat	te, percentage.
2	Q	Within the first year you'd have to, you'd have to
3	pay him	five times his investment?
4	A	Um-hum.
5		JUDGE MILLER: Is the answer yes?
6		WITNESS: Yes.
7		BY MR. KRAVETZ:
8	Q	In the second year, you'd have to pay him six times
9	his inve	stment?
10	A	Yes.
11	Ω	In the third year, you'd have to pay him seven times
12	his inves	stment? Is that correct?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	So the first year you're talking about five times
15	his obliq	gation is \$475,000, just in the first year, correct?
16		MR. KOERNER: Objection, Your Honor.
17		JUDGE MILLER: Sustained.
18		BY MR. KRAVETZ:
19	Q	Okay. Would you have the liquid assets now to buy
20	him out	if you wanted to?
21	A	No. There's no reason to buy him out.
22	Q	Do you have over \$50,000 in the bank, including
23	stock or	money market certificates, certificates of deposit,
24	anything	like that?
25	A	No, sir, I don't.

1	Q	Do you have over 25,000?
2	A	No, sir, not at the present time.
3	Q	Do you have over 10,000?
4	A	Yes, I do, sir.
5		MR. KRAVETZ: Okay, Your Honor, I have no further
6	questions	•
7		JUDGE MILLER: All right.
8		MR. KOERNER: Your Honor, would this be a good time
9	for the m	id-morning break?
10		JUDGE MILLER: All right, let's take a, let's take a
11	10-minute	recess.
12		(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)
13		JUDGE MILLER: On the record. Mr. Kravetz.
14		MR. KRAVETZ: Yes. Your Honor, I noted that I
15	identifie	d Wilburn Exhibit 3, but I didn't which is the
16	sharehold	ers agreement. I, I did not move it into evidence.
17		JUDGE MILLER: That is correct.
18		MR. KRAVETZ: And at this point I would respectfully
19	request t	hat Wilburn Exhibit 3 be, be accepted into evidence.
20		JUDGE MILLER: Any objections, Mr. Koerner?
21		MR. KOERNER: No, Your Honor.
22		JUDGE MILLER: Wilburn Exhibit 3 is received.
23		(Whereupon, the document referred to
24		as Wilburn Exhibit No. 3 was received
25		into evidence.)

1	MR. KRAVETZ: I have no further questions, Your
2	Honor.
3	JUDGE MILLER: All right. Let's see, Mr. Alpert,
4	are you number two?
5	MR. ALPERT: Yes, I am, Your Honor.
6	JUDGE MILLER: Proceed.
7	MR. ALPERT: It falls to me. Just one second, Your
8	Honor.
9	CROSS EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. ALPERT:
11	Q Just a couple of points of clarification now,
12	Ms. Frizzell. First of all, I believe you just testified a
13	few moments ago that there is a provision in the shareholders
14	agreement whereby you can buy out Mr. Beauvais. Is that
15	correct?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And it's a never-increasing multiplier of what his
18	initial investment is. Is that correct?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Now, those were provisions that you proposed to him
21	or that you presented to him in a document which contained
22	those provisions. Is that correct?
23	MR. KRAVETZ: Objection. A mischaracterization of
24	the testimony as far as whether she proposes or whether they
25	were

1	JUDGE MILLER: Sustained.
2	BY MR. ALPERT:
3	Q Okay. They were in a document that you presented to
4	him. Is that correct?
5	A Yes, sir.
6	Q Okay. Are those provisions which are advantageous
7	to you as a stockholder in the corporation?
8	MR. KOERNER: Objection, Your Honor. It calls for
9	speculation.
10	JUDGE MILLER: Sustained. Sustained.
11	BY MR. ALPERT:
12	Q Are those the same provisions that are contained in
13	the previous draft of the document that was presented to
14	Mr. Beauvais, the exact provisions?
15	A This is the same.
16	Q Okay, the same
17	A Um-hum.
18	Q Yes?
19	A Yes. Excuse me.
20	JUDGE MILLER: Gentlemen, it may benefit this record
21	to have that previous, to have that previous agreement in
22	evidence.
23	MR. ALPERT: Well, Your Honor, it has not been
24	produced in discovery. I do not have a copy to introduce into
25	the record, unfortunately.

1	MR. KRAVETZ: Your Honor, I'd be pleased to request
2	that it be produced at this point or as soon as counsel can do
3	so.
4	MR. KOERNER: Your Honor, that, that agreement
5	There was testimony at the deposition session concerning it
6	and, as I according to my recollection at least, neither of
7	the counsel present for the depositions asked for a copy.
8	From a previous Commission proceeding it had it may even be
9	in the record there.
10	MR. KRAVETZ: Your Honor, at the deposition, as I
11	recall the testimony, there was no distinction between the two
12	documents except for the name, and there is no reason to have
13	it at this point. The testimony
14	JUDGE MILLER: But that's exactly the point. That's
15	exactly the point. And it'll save us an awful lot of time if
16	you had both of those in there and, and everybody can see for
17	themselves that there's no distinction between them. I think
18	that's I think it would add to her testimony. But, all
19	right, proceed. I'm not going to, I'm not going to require it
20	to be presented. Proceed, Mr. Alpert.
21	MR. ALPERT: Thank you, Your Honor.
22	BY MR. ALPERT:
23	Q Now, you say that in the previous document it
24	contains cost figures for what Mr. Beauvais would be providing
25	for in the Is that correct?

1	A	The previous docket document See, I'm Could
2	you answe	r ask that again, please?
3	Q	Okay. There's a provision in this shareholders
4	agreement	whereby he agrees to provide certain amounts of
5	money for	the construction and initial operation of the
6	station.	Is that correct?
7	A	Correct.
8	Q	And that sum is what?
9	A	The total?
10	Q	For the construction and operation of the station.
11	A	The operation After the permit is granted, he
12	would loa	n 100,000.
13	Q	And that is the money, the fees for the construction
14	and opera	tion of the station. Is that correct?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	Okay. Now, you're saying that that's the same
17	figure th	at was the same in the previous document. Is that
18	correct?	
19	A	Um-hum. Yes.
20	Q	Yes?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	And you're saying that you decided that the same
23	figure co	uld be used from the previous document for this
24	particula	r application. Is that correct?
25	A	Yes.

1	Q	Now, in the previous application, to your knowledge,
2	were they	proposing to lease an existing facility or were they
3	proposing	to build a new facility?
4	A	I'm not sure. I don't know.
5	Q	You have no knowledge of that? Do you have any
6	knowledge	of whether in that
7		MR. KRAVETZ: Excuse me. She shook her head and I
8	request th	nat the witness
9		WITNESS: I said no. I'm sorry.
10		JUDGE MILLER: You've got to speak.
11		WITNESS: No.
12		MR. KRAVETZ: Thank you.
13		WITNESS: I don't know.
14		BY MR. ALPERT:
15	Q	You have no knowledge. Is that correct?
16	A	That's correct.
17	Q	Okay. Do you have any knowledge of whether in that
18	other app	lication that Ms. Adams had been involved in from
19	which you	got this document, whether that application involved
20	a proposa	l for auxiliary power?
21	A	I don't know.
22	Q	Do you know if in that other application, which is
23	the docume	ent and which you received from Joann Adams,
24	whether he	er application had the necessity to buy a directional
25	antenna?	

1	A I don't know.
2	Q You do not know?
	-
3	A No, sir, I don't know.
4	Q Okay.
5	MR. ALPERT: I'd like to present a document, place a
6	document before the witness.
7	JUDGE MILLER: All right.
8	MR. ALPERT: I'd like to have this identified for
9	the record as Davis Exhibit Number 3. I've placed I'm
10	giving one copy to counsel, one copy to Your Honor, a copy for
11	the witness, and I'm giving two copies to the court reporter
12	and copies to the other counsel that are attending.
13	JUDGE MILLER: All right. The document bearing the
14	title "Code of Regulations of ASF Broadcasting Corporation"
15	and consisting of I guess 13 numbered pages and 3 attachments,
16	3 pages of attachments, will be marked for identification as
17	Shellee Davis Exhibit Number 3.
18	MR. ALPERT: Thank you, Your Honor.
19	(Whereupon, the document referred to
20	as Davis Exhibit Number 3 was marked
21	for identification.)
22	BY MR. ALPERT:
23	Q Okay, Ms. Frizzell, now, the first 13 pages of this
24	document are the Code of Regulations of ASF Broadcasting
25	Corporation. Is that correct?

1	A	Yes, that's correct.
2	Q	Okay. And following that is the Record of
3	Proceeding	gs of the Incorporator of ASF Broadcasting
4	Corporation	on?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	And that, that consists of two pages. Is that
7	correct?	
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Then, finally, the last page is the Unanimous
10	Written Ad	ction of Shareholders?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	And in the last document, the Unanimous Written
13	Action of	Shareholders, you adopted the Code of Regulations as
14	ratified h	by the corporation. Is that correct?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	And are these indeed the Code of Regulations of ASF
17	Broadcasti	ing Corporation?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	Approximately when did you strike that. Now, the
20	last page	of the document, the Unanimous Written Action of
21	Shareholde	ers, is dated the 23rd day of December 1991. Is that
22	correct?	
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	Is that the day on which you signed that document?
25	A	Yes.

1	Q And that's the date on which you adopted the Code of
2	Regulations of ASF Broadcasting Corporation?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Okay. Could you turn Well, strike that. Section
5	1 of the Article II, Section 1, of the Code of Regulations
6	states that an annual meeting of the shareholders shall be
7	held on the second Tuesday of March at 11:00 a.m. in each year
8	if it not a legal holiday. Then if it is a legal holiday,
9	then on the next business day following. Is that correct?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Have annual meetings been held of the shareholders?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Who was in attendance at that meeting?
14	A I was.
15	Q Was anyone else in attendance at that meeting?
16	A No, sir.
17	Q Now, Section 4 of that Article II, at the very
18	bottom of the page, says that no-voting shareholders shall be
19	entitled to receive all notices sent to any shareholder and
20	attend any annual meetings or special meetings, but receipt of
21	such notice and attendance thereafter conveys no voting right
22	to shareholders. Is that correct?
23	A That's correct.
24	Q All right. Now, the no-voting shareholders, is that
25	supposed to be a reference to nonvoting shareholders?

1	A	Yes, sir.
2	Q	Okay. Was Mr. Beauvais given notice of the meeting?
3	A	No, sir.
4	Q	And why was he not given notice of the meeting?
5	A	I didn't do it. I just didn't At that point in
6	time, not	hing had, had happened and so I didn't ask him.
7	Q	Do you feel that you that these Code of
8	Regulation	ns are discretionary and not binding upon you?
9	A	On page 13
10	Q	No, would you answer my question, please, ma'am?
11	A	I did not ask him.
12	Q	Okay. No, that's not my question. I believe my
13	question v	was do you feel that these Code of Regulations are
14	not manda	tory, are not binding up you, that they're only
15	discretio	nary, you can decide when to violate them and when to
16	obey them	?
17	A	No. I, I didn't ask him and they're
18	Q	That's not my question, ma'am.
19		UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Your Honor
20		JUDGE MILLER: Objection sustained. Don't badger.
21		MR. ALPERT: I'm sorry, Your Honor.
22		JUDGE MILLER: I hope you are. I hope you're really
23	sorry. P	roceed.
24		MR. ALPERT: Thank you.
25		JUDGE MILLER: Now, you know and I know what we're

dealing with here. You can take up literally a day doing this, but it's nonsense, and you know it and I know it, 2 Mr. Alpert. You know what happens. Some lawyer draws this 3 thing up, hands it to them and they say okay, this is the Code 4 5 of Regulations. Now to, to get the witness to say well, you 6 realize what that meant? Did you realize -- You know the 7 answer before you ask the questions. 8 MR. ALPERT: Well --9 JUDGE MILLER: Proceed. I'm just trying --10 MR. ALPERT: I know the answer. 11 want to make sure the record is --12 JUDGE MILLER: All right, but, but it's nonsense. 13 It doesn't move the record forward one bit. Proceed. 14 BY MR. ALPERT: 15 Let me ask, ask the question maybe one more time, Q and I apologize -- anybody -- In your view, are you permitted 17 to deviate from the Code of Regulations when you feel the need 18 to? 19 On page 13 -- the shareholders agreement prevails. 20 I was going by the shareholders agreement and, to be honest, I 21 did read these Code of Regulations. To tell you the truth, do 22 I understand every line? No. And I do by -- I did not ask 23 him. 24 Okay. Now, you refer to the shareholders agreement and page 13 of this agreement. Are you -- Is it your

1	understanding that the shareholders agreement states that he
2	is not, he is not allowed to attend meetings?
3	MR. KOERNER: Objection, Your Honor. It calls for a
4	legal conclusion.
5	JUDGE MILLER: Sustained.
6	BY MR. ALPERT:
7	Q Okay, Ms. Frizzell, in your, in your written
8	testimony, you state -
9	JUDGE MILLER: Let me, let me ask a question at this
10	stage. Article X, why doesn't that read 75 percent? Line 3
11	and line, line 6. Should it read 75 percent?
12	WITNESS: This says voting power. He has no voting
13	power.
14	JUDGE MILLER: Well, why is, why is what is, what
15	is, what is magical about 80 percent? Could you tell me that?
16	WITNESS: Honest, sir, no, I cannot.
17	JUDGE MILLER: I'll ask you another question. Were
18	these, were, were these articles taken from some other set of
19	articles used in some other instance?
20	WITNESS: I believe the attorney drew these articles
21	up and it's legal, I read it, but to understand all of it, no,
22	I don't. And I don't understand the 80 percent, to be honest.
23	JUDGE MILLER: Proceed, Mr. Alpert.
24	BY MR. ALPERT:
25	Q Okay, Ms. Frizzell, in Exhibit 3 of your

•	ı	MR. ALPERT: Oh, Your Honor, before I do that, can I
1		
2	move into	evidence Davis Exhibit Number 3?
3		JUDGE MILLER: Davis Exhibit Number 3, any
4	objection	s, Mr. Koerner?
5		MR. KOERNER: No, Your Honor.
6		JUDGE MILLER: Davis Exhibit Number 3 is received.
7	<b>}</b>	MR. ALPERT: Thank you, Your Honor.
8		(Whereupon, the document referred to
9		as Davis Exhibit No. 3 was received
10		into evidence.)
11		BY MR. ALPERT:
12	Q	Do you have your written testimony before you?
13	A	The deposition?
14	Q	No, your written testimony. Your testimony in this
15	proceedin	g?
16		MR. KOERNER: The hearing exhibits.
17		WITNESS: Oh, yes. Okay.
18		BY MR. ALPERT:
19	Q	Could you turn to Exhibit Number 3, page 2? Now, at
20	the botto	m of page 2 you state that you, that you have engaged
21	in civic	activities and in one of those activities you helped
22	raise fun	ds for TV Discovery, playgrounds, and for Children's
23	Hospital.	Is that correct?
24	A	Yes, I was involved in that.
25	Q	Okay. Isn't it true that all you did with respect

to the TV Discovery Playground was to talk to the people for the organization, and the project was carried out by the 2 public service director of the station WBBY at which you were 3 employed? 4 A The public service director was involved. It's a 5 very small station and we all work very close together. I was 6 general manager and I talked with the people, and then I sat 7 down with the public service director and we mapped it out. 8 9 We all work very closely together. It's not like a big 10 station. We all work on the promotions, we all go to the promotions. And yes, I had my public service director work 11 12 along with it.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Okay. Wasn't it true, though, Ms. Frizzell, that you stated that -- The question was asked, "Let me ask you again a question. Let me ask you again what was the nature of your personal involvement in helping to raise funds for the TV Discovery Playground?" After some colloquy, you gave the answer, "The Witness: Okay, I talked to the people, the people from Discovery. I talked to them. I talked to the public service director and seen what she needed to do to finish implementing this. That's all I did, that's all I did." Is that -- Was that your testimony at your deposition of this proceeding on July 13th, 1993?

A I must yes, I did say that after I was under -- You really stressed me out at that point.

1	JUDGE MILLER: Well, was that the question you were
2	asked and is that the answer you gave?
3	WITNESS: Yes.
4	JUDGE MILLER: And you've had a chance since then to
5	read the
6	WITNESS: Yes.
7	JUDGE MILLER: thing and you've had a chance to
8	make corrections. You didn't make any corrections. Is that,
9	is that where we stand?
10	WITNESS: Yes, that was
11	JUDGE MILLER: Proceed.
12	WITNESS: my answer.
13	JUDGE MILLER: Proceed.
14	BY MR. ALPERT:
15	Q Okay. Now, the case of the I believe you state
16	here that you also helped raise funds for the Children's
17	Hospital. Is that correct?
18	A Yes, we did.
19	Q And I believe I asked you the question at your
20	deposition, "Now, in the case of the Children's Hospital, what
21	did you personally do to help raise funds for the Children's
22	Hospital?" And your answer was, "Answer: The same thing."
23	Is that Was that the question I asked you and is that the
24	answer that you gave at the deposition?
25	A That was the answer I gave at the deposition.

1	Q And that has not been changed or corrected in any
2	subsequent errata or filing with regard to this deposition.
3	Is that correct?
4	A That is correct.
5	Q And does that, does this correctly reflect your
6	the nature of your involvement in those activities?
7	A No, it does not.
8	Q Is there any reason why you did not correct it
9	correct the deposition when given the opportunity to do so?
10	A I didn't think you could change what you had already
11	said. I said it.
12	MR. ALPERT: I think I have no further questions,
13	Your Honor.
14	JUDGE MILLER: All right. Mr. Yelverton?
15	MR. YELVERTON: Yes, Your Honor, I have some
16	questions.
17	CROSS EXAMINATION
18	BY MR. YELVERTON:
19	Q Ms. Frizzell, I'm Stephen Yelverton. I represent
20	Ohio Radio Associates, Incorporated. I'd like to turn your
21	attention to what's been identified and received into evidence
22	as Wilburn Exhibit 3, which has the caption "Shareholders
23	Agreement." Do you have that before you?
24	A Yes, sir.
25	O Would you turn to the last page of that document.

|which is page 7, and it has your signature on it and also that 2 of Mr. Beauvais? Do you see that? Yes, sir. 3 Α If you notice, at least this particular document, I don't about the original, the first six pages do not have any 5 6 type of facsimile transmission data at the top, but page 7 does have printed at the top facsimile transmission data which 7 8 indicates this page was transmitted by facsimile on, I believe 9 that's May 7th, 1993. Or it could be a 6, which would be June 10 '93. And could you tell us when you actually signed the 11 original of what's page 7? 12 A The 23rd of December. 13 JUDGE MILLER: Nineteen? 14 WITNESS: 191. 15 JUDGE MILLER: Okav. 16 BY MR. YELVERTON: 17 Okay, just for the record, so that there's no 18 confusion, do you know why this particular copy has a 19 facsimile for the signature page and the others not? And I 20 believe this document came from documents produced by ASF, 21 even though this was introduced into evidence by another 22 party. 23 I believe it was for Mr. Koerner, that he didn't 24 have a copy with Mr. Beauvais's signature on it. And since Mr. Beauvais lives in Michigan and I live in Ohio, it was

1	faxed to him to sign for Mr. Koerner.
2	Q Do you know when Mr. Beauvais signed the original of
3	this document?
4	A The 23rd of December. It was Well, it was Fed
5	Ex'ed to him from the attorney's office in Columbus to be
6	signed.
7	Q I'd like to turn your attention to another area, and
8	this goes back to the period of time you decided to initially
9	file for the Westerville frequency. You've given previous
10	testimony, previously testified about that, contacting Joann
11	Adams and then Mr. Beauvais. But I'd like to ask you
12	questions as to matters preceding that. At what point in time
13	did you first conceive or think about the idea of filing for
14	the Westerville frequency?
15	A I believe I found out about the closure of BBY the
16	end of November of '91. And all of the employees and the
17	listeners, we were all trying to do something to keep the jobs
18	and the station alive, and I called the FCC and talked to
19	someone here about running it in the interim so the employees
20	could keep their jobs, so we wouldn't have to go off the air.
21	And I think it was Mr. Miller of the FCC said no
22	JUDGE MILLER: Who? Not Walter, not Walter Miller?
23	WITNESS: It was Mr it was Larry Miller.
24	JUDGE MILLER: Larry Miller.
25	WITNESS: Larry Miller.

JUDGE MILLER: Let the record reflect that she's not 1 2 talking about the judge. Go ahead. 3 WITNESS: Anyway, they said that it wasn't going to -- we couldn't have the interim run it, that the FCC wasn't 4 5 going to allow it. So I went back to the employees and told 6 them and then we talked and people were crying. It was just 7 really emotional at that point in time. So I said okay, let 8 me see if I can just get the license, let me find out how I 9 can do that maybe, 'cause I didn't have a lot of money on my 10 So that's when I talked to Joann and she told me about 11 Mr. Beauvais. And so I called him and asked him if he could 12 help us out. 13 BY MR. YELVERTON: 14 Well, how did it come about that you decided that 15 you were going to take on this burden of putting the station 16 back on the air as opposed to some other employee at the 17 station? Why you and not Joe Smith? 18 Because I was the general manager at that time and 19 everyone was looking to me to try to save their jobs, and the 20 listeners were calling in and they wanted to give money. 21 Everybody wanted to just give money to help get it. And I 22 guess I'm a softy and I said let me try, I'll do everything in 23 my power to get it. And that's what I'm doing. 24 Did, did somebody put the idea in your head, suggest

> FREE STATE REPORTING, INC. Court Reporting Depositions D.C. Area (301) 261-1902 Balt. & Annap. (410) 974-0947

to you, well, Ms. Frizzell, why don't you apply?